

Robert Samuel Pimentel
Plaintiff
Verus
Charleston County
Sergeant Gordon
Individual
Defendant

State of South Carolina
Court of Common Pleas
for the Ninth Judicial Circuit

COMPLAINT

Case 9:25-cv-00031-RMG-MHC

VENUE 1 The causes of actions accrued at SACCDC where Plaintiff is incarcerated.

FACTS 2 Plaintiff left outgoing mail with Taylor, 02:30pm zone zone. She refused to take it.

3 Plaintiff inquired with Gordon about taking it. He also refused to take it to postage.

4 The manilla envelope addressed to the District Court is still on the guard's desk.

COA 5 Plaintiff adopts by reference the Facts and Exhibits to come later.

47 USC 1923

15-78-10SC

6 Defendants actions constitute mail interference/civil conspiracy and gross negligence/purse).

7 Plaintiff is sustaining damage by the District Court not receiving his mail.

DEMAND Now the Plaintiff demands the Order of the Honorable Court for Mandamus

to make the next available CO take my mail and deposit it appropriately into
the outgoing mail, recipient covering the postage, and furthermore,

the Plaintiff Prays for Judgment in his favor for any actual, compensatory,
and/or punitive Relief, and other Relief deemed Just and proper by the
trier of fact, including the relief demanded in the Complaint contained within
the manilla envelope Plaintiff purchased from commissary.

COA 8 Plaintiff would also allege an affirmative defense claim against Charleston County
as it allows to be sold "manilla envelopes" for 50 cents (\$0.50 USD) but
it does not specify that one could not send this envelope as mail.

COA 9 Towards the bottom of the commissary items list, which is fairly long
and requires a significant amount of scrolling to reach, for 85 cents are
"stamped envelopes" which cannot send unfolded average sized paper.
Plaintiff would therefore also argue this violates Consumer Protection,
and Pray for Relief from the Honorable Court, including the 35 cents off
difference per envelope purchased lifetime from 2025 forward,
and lifetime protection from any potential negative judgment.

resulting from Plaintiff sending a folded paper, such as this one.

Respectfully,
Not A Pro Robert Samuel Pimentel, pro se, SALCSC, the 23rd of December, 2024